


 OPEN ACCESS
  PEER-REVIEWED

1,012

VIEWS

1

SHARE

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Job Strain and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors: Meta-Analysis of Individual-Participant Data from 47,000 Men and Women

Solja T. Nyberg , Eleonor I. Fransson, Katriina Heikkilä, Lars Alfredsson, Annalisa Casini, Els Clays, Dirk De Bacquer, Nico Dragano, Raimund Erbel, Jane E. Ferrie, Mark Hamer, Karl-Heinz Jöckel, France Kittel, [...], for the IPD-Work Consortium, [[view all](#)]

Article

About the Authors

Metrics

Comments

Related Content

Download

Print

Share

Reader Comments (1)

[Post a new comment](#) on this article

Conclusions about Job Strain and Blood Pressure Findings Misleading.

Posted by [mdobson](#) on 30 Sep 2013 at 19:14 GMT

We believe Nyberg et al are incorrect in concluding that their findings “provide strong evidence against the common belief that job strain increases resting blood pressure” [1]. Although the IPD-Consortium meta-analysis involves a large population and therefore has great power to detect small changes between groups, the cross-sectional design of the component studies used in this analysis, and its focus on resting/casual blood pressure (CBP) make it less than ideal for the study of the long term impact of job strain on blood pressure (BP).

They cite only three cross-sectional studies [2-4] to support their conclusion of an absence of a relationship between job strain and CBP or hypertension. However, on a quick review of the literature on job strain (measured by the standard JCQ or JCQ-like questionnaire scales as in the IPD Work paper) and CBP (measured in a clinic as in the IPD Work paper), we found 31 cross-sectional studies and 5 longitudinal studies. Among the 31 cross-sectional studies, a number showed positive relationships between job strain and casual blood pressure, including [5-9]. Of the 5 longitudinal studies, there were 4 that examined job strain and CBP [10-13], 1 looked at job strain and hypertension only [14] and 1 that examined both CBP and hypertension [12]. An association between job strain and systolic blood pressure was supported in 3 out of 4

Subject Areas Cholesterol Obesity Consortia Diabetes mellitus Cardiovascular di... Blood pressure Alcohols Antihypertensives 

ADVERTISEMENT

longitudinal studies in gender-mixed or male working populations [10-12]. The association between job strain and hypertension was confirmed in one study[12], but not in the other study [14]. However, the first study, showing a positive association between job strain (as a ratio of job demand to job control) and hypertension was methodologically superior to the other study showing a null association. In this paper, job strain was measured twice, those who were hypertensive at baseline were excluded, and job strain was operationalized in several forms, including a change in job strain ratio between baseline and follow-up. We conclude that the existing literature supports a finding of a cross-sectional and longitudinal association between job strain and CBP at least in men. Although inconclusive as yet, the literature partially supports the longitudinal association between job strain and hypertension, particularly when repeated job strain information is used as a continuous variable.

Of course, it is well established that ABP is a better predictor than CBP of target organ damage [15, 16] and incident cardiovascular disease [17, 18] and is associated with job strain. Nyberg et al acknowledge that their job strain and CBP findings are not “universal” and “do not apply to ambulatory blood pressure,” citing our recently published meta-analysis that demonstrates a substantial and significant association between job strain and ambulatory blood pressure in cross-sectional studies as well as in 3 longitudinal studies [19].

While not yet absolutely conclusive, the literature on job strain and CBP and ABP suggest there exists a positive relationship between this work stressor (one of many at the worksite) and blood pressure/hypertension, and that the results are not dissimilar for CBP and ABP. Given the large body of positive research findings on job strain and BP as well as the importance of the IPD consortium findings we believe the authors should have paid more attention to the existing literature on the longitudinal association between job strain and CBP, ambulatory blood pressure, and hypertension before drawing their strong conclusions.

More longitudinal research, and most importantly intervention studies, on job strain and casual or ambulatory blood pressure are necessary. An ideal epidemiological study of work stressors and blood pressure would involve, given the observed slow increase over time in blood pressure in the general population of less than 0.5-1mmHg/yr [20], at least 5 and perhaps 10 years of follow-up and would include repeated measures of exposure to work stressors at baseline and follow-up (including job strain) as well as repeated measures of blood pressure, preferably ambulatory.

Peter Schnall, MD, MPH1
 Marnie Dobson, PhD1
 BongKyo Choi, ScD1
 Paul Landsbergis, PhD, MPH 2
 SangBaek Ko, MD, PhD1
 Dean Baker, MD1

1) Center for Occupational and Environmental Health, University of California Irvine
 Irvine, CA UNITED STATES

2) Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, State University of New York-Downstate School of Public Health, Brooklyn, NY 11203

1. Nyberg, S.T., et al., Job strain and cardiovascular disease risk factors: meta-analysis of individual-participant data from 47,000 men and women. *PLoS One*, 2013. 8(6): p. e67323.
2. Alfredsson, L., et al., Job strain and major risk factors for coronary heart disease among employed males and females in a Swedish study on work, lipids and fibrinogen. *Scand J Work Environ Health*, 2002. 28(4): p. 238-48.
3. Kang, M.G., et al., Job stress and cardiovascular risk factors in male workers. *Prev Med*, 2005. 40(5): p. 583-8.
4. Netterstrom, B., et al., Job strain and cardiovascular risk factors: a cross sectional study of employed Danish men and women. *British Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 1991. 48(10): p. 684-9.
5. Chikani, V., et al., Psychosocial work characteristics predict cardiovascular disease risk factors and health functioning in rural women: the Wisconsin Rural Women's Health Study. *J Rural Health*, 2005. 21(4): p. 295-302.
6. Greiner, B., et al., Occupational stressors and hypertension: a multi-method study using observer-based job analysis and self-reports in urban transit operators. *Social Science and Medicine*, 2004. 59: p. 1081-1094.
7. Kawakami, N., T. Haratani, and S. Araki, Job strain and arterial blood pressure, serum cholesterol, and smoking as risk factors for coronary heart disease in Japan. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 1998. 71(6): p. 429-32.
8. Schaubroeck, J. and D.E. Merritt, Divergent effects of job control on coping with work stressors: The key role of self-efficacy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 1997. 40(3): p. 738-754.
9. Su, C.T., et al., Arterial blood pressure and blood lipids as cardiovascular risk factors and occupational stress in Taiwan. *Int J Cardiol*, 2001. 81(2-3): p. 181-7.
10. Guimont, C., et al., Effects of Job Strain on Blood Pressure: A Prospective Study of Male and Female White-Collar Workers. *American Journal of Public Health*, 2006. 96(8): p. 1436-1443.
11. Ohlin, B., et al., Job strain in men, but not in women, predicts a significant rise in blood pressure after 6.5 years of follow-up. *J Hypertens*, 2007. 25(3): p. 525-31.

12. Markovitz, J.H., et al., Increases in job strain are associated with incident hypertension in the CARDIA Study. *Ann Behav Med*, 2004. 28(1): p. 4-9.
13. Fauvel, J.P., et al., Neither perceived job stress nor individual cardiovascular reactivity predict high blood pressure. *Hypertension*, 2003. 42(6): p. 1112-6.
14. Kivimaki, M., et al., Hypertension is not the link between job strain and coronary heart disease in the Whitehall II study. *Am J Hypertens*, 2007. 20(11): p. 1146-53.
15. Sega, R., et al., Alterations of cardiac structure in patients with isolated office, ambulatory, or home hypertension: data from the general population. *Pressione Arteriose Monitorate E Loro Associazioni (PAMELA) Study. Circulation*, 2001. 104(12): p. 1385-1392.
16. Verdecchia, P., et al., Task force III: Target-organ damage, morbidity and mortality. *Blood Pressure Monitoring*, 1999. 4: p. 303-317.
17. Ohkubo, T., et al., Prognosis of masked hypertension and white coat hypertension detected by 24-h ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2005. 46: p. 508-515.
18. Pierdomenico, S., et al., Cardiovascular Outcome in Treated Hypertensive Patients with Responder, Masked, False Resistant, and True Resistant Hypertension. *American Journal of Hypertension*, 2005. 18: p. 1422-1428.
19. Landsbergis, P., et al., Job strain and ambulatory blood pressure: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *American Journal of Public Health*, 2013. 103(3): p. e61-e71.
20. Safar, M., et al., Mean and yearly changes in blood pressure with age in the metabolic syndrome: the DESIR study. *Hypertension Research*, 2011. 34(1): p. 91-7.

No competing interests declared.

[report a concern](#)

[respond to this posting](#)



Ambra 2.8.1 Managed Colocation provided
by Internet Systems Consortium.

[Privacy Policy](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Advertise](#) | [Media Inquiries](#)

[Publications](#)

[PLOS Biology](#)
[PLOS Medicine](#)
[PLOS Computational Biology](#)
[PLOS Currents](#)
[PLOS Genetics](#)
[PLOS Pathogens](#)
[PLOS ONE](#)
[PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases](#)

[plos.org](#)

[Blogs](#)

[Collections](#)

[Send us feedback](#)