A glossary for the social epidemiology of work organisation: Part 1, Terms from social psychology

C Muntaner, J Benach, W C Hadden, D Gimeno and F G Benavides

_J. Epidemiol. Community Health_ 2006;60;914-916
doi:10.1136/jech.2004.032631

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/60/11/914

These include:

**References**
This article cites 18 articles, 6 of which can be accessed free at:
http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/60/11/914#BIBL

1 online articles that cite this article can be accessed at:
http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/60/11/914#otherarticles

**Rapid responses**
You can respond to this article at:
http://jech.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/60/11/914

**Email alerting service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to:
http://www.bmjjournals.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to _Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health_ go to:
http://www.bmjjournals.com/subscriptions/
Work is the means by which most human beings provide for their daily sustenance. Although many theorists suggest that work occupies a central place in human life, more recently some have questioned the centrality of work, arguing that we are now in a postindustrial, consumer-oriented society where consumption has replaced work as a source of health and disease in our societies. Nevertheless, even in wealthy countries most adults still spend most of their waking hours engaged in work. People work in or out of their homes, with or without labour contracts, and in safe or hazardous working conditions. These and other features of work organisation have a great effect on workers’ health. Furthermore, work exists in a historical context, deeply influenced by several institutions and social relationships.

Concepts used in the epidemiology of work organisation have been drawn from diverse disciplines, as researchers have pragmatically adapted concepts from adjacent disciplines such as sociology or psychology. Although this pragmatic approach has produced a strong body of empirical evidence, it has left us with concepts that are nearly impossible to integrate into a broad theoretical framework. Therefore, this glossary does not exist within any overarching theoretical framework. Instead, we have chosen to split the content into three parts, according to each term’s origin in the social sciences. Terms appear under one of three headings: Social Psychology, the Sociology of Work and Organisations and the Sociology of Labour Markets.

Our criteria for selecting and including terms in the glossary include both objective and subjective components. Substantial effort has been devoted to refining terms that are often used in Medline references but which, nonetheless, remain ambiguous or undefined (see terms under Social Psychology). We have also tackled areas in need of conceptual clarity (see terms under the Sociology of Work and Organisations). Finally, we have looked at how language is being used to describe emerging new forms of work organisation (see terms under the Sociology of Labour Markets). Whenever possible, we have provided information on the origin of each term, its definition and, in a few specific cases, information on measurement issues.

We believe our glossary complements two others: firstly, Nancy Krieger’s glossary of terms in social epidemiology and, secondly, a glossary by Mel Bartley and Jeanne Ferrie that defines terms in the areas of unemployment, job insecurity and health (previously published in the Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health) with concepts that are difficult to integrate into any overarching theoretical framework.

**PART 1: TERMS FROM SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY**

The discipline of social psychology looks at social behaviour and the psychological experiences of people in the work context. The boundaries of this subdiscipline overlap with other social and behavioural sciences. Some view the discipline of social psychology of work as occupying a distinct substantive field of knowledge located between the sociology of work and work psychology. The “social psychology of work” area includes studying relationships and behaviour at work, both in groups and among individuals; it also explores social influence processes and conflict, work roles, the connections between work and individual expectations, and how these affect work motivation, attitudes and well-being.

**Bullying**

No consensus exists regarding this term, which has been defined in multiple ways. Bullying usually refers to workplace situations where someone is subjected to social isolation, where his or her work is devalued, or to other forms of physical and psychological intimidation. These include professional humiliation, teasing, pressure to produce and destabilisation such as changing tasks or “goal posts.” Although physical bullying is also possible, it is rarely reported.

Bullying at the workplace has been related to low job satisfaction levels, high levels of stress, anxiety and depression, sickness absence and intention to leave the job. Some research suggests that bullying negatively affects not only the victims but also those who witness bullying incidents.

**Effort-reward imbalance**

The model of effort–reward imbalance links chronic stressful experiences at work with adverse long-term health effects. It also examines the individual’s “fit” with the environment.

The model defines two different sources of effort: extrinsic (situational) effort, which is the individual’s response to demands and obligations on the job, and intrinsic (personal) effort, which is the personal motivation of the worker to achieve or compete, to control the work situation, or to be approved or esteemed. Reward embraces
financial rewards, esteem and occupational status control. In the model, a lack of reciprocity between costs and gains (ie high-effort or low-reward conditions) creates a state of emotional distress with special propensity to autonomic arousal and strain reactions.

The effort–reward imbalance model applies to a wide range of occupational settings, often to groups that suffer from a growing segmentation of the labour market or to those exposed to structural unemployment and rapid socioeconomic change. Effort–reward imbalance is common among low-status industrial workers, service occupations or professions, particularly those dealing with clients.

**Emotional labour**

Learning to manage emotion is essential to forming a mature personality, and is part of all working relationships. The term emotional labour describes jobs that require workers to induce or suppress feelings to sustain the outward countenance that produces the proper state of mind in others. For example, airline stewards are responsible for managing situations with customers to create a favourable experience for the customer. Other human service jobs that require personal involvement with clients require workers to cede considerable control to patients or clients. Not only has the number of jobs requiring emotional control increased markedly in recent years, but Hochschild has also identified the growing extent to which emotion is actually engineered and managed in these jobs.

**Job control**

This refers to employees’ sense of control over their tasks and performance during the workday. Job control is also called “decision latitude,” which is defined as the combination of decision-making authority and the worker’s opportunity to use and develop skills on the job. This concept is closely related to autonomy. The “job strain” model predicts that when high job demands are present with low job decision latitudes, there are negative physical health outcomes.

**Job discrimination**

This term describes what happens when work-related decisions are based on ascribed characteristics, such as sex, age, race, ethnicity or social class, rather than on individual merit, qualifications or performance. Social epidemiological analyses of discrimination require conceptualising and operationalising diverse expressions of exposure, susceptibility and resistance to discrimination. Clearly, individuals and social groups can be subjected simultaneously to multiple—and interacting—types of discrimination.

**Job strain**

Karasek developed the job strain concept and model, also known as the demand–control model. Job strain results “not from a single aspect of the work environment, but from the joint effects of the demands of a work situation and the range of decision-making freedom (discretion) available to the worker facing those demands. Job strain occurs when job demands are high and job decision latitude is low.”

People in high-strain jobs are at increased risk for negative health outcomes such as hypertension, heart disease, fatigue, anxiety, depression and illness. More recently, a third major job characteristic—workplace social support—was added to Karasek’s model. The combination of job strain and low social support has since been labelled “iso-strain” or “isolated high-strain” work. Low social support has been associated with increased job strain to mortality risk ratios.

**Job stress**

Most definitions can be placed within two theoretical perspectives. The first considers job stress as an organism response, following the tradition started by Cannon and Selye. This is the most accepted and common conception of stress. Within this perspective, job stress refers to “the harmful physical and emotional responses that occur when the requirements of the job do not match the capabilities, resources, or needs of the worker.” Job stress may result in physical and mental illness, such as cardiovascular disease or depression. This concept is extremely broad, including not only “job strain” but also situations where there is a poor fit between workers’ personalities or abilities and job requirements, where workers are confronted with role conflicts, where the amount or pace of work required exceeds the capacity of workers, or where the intensity or duration of work interferes with workers’ family or personal lives.

The second perspective conceptualises stress as those features of the work environment that pose a threat to the individual’s health and well-being. The term “stressor” is an offspring of this second notion of stress.

**Person–environment fit**

The “person–environment fit” model of occupational stress was developed at the University of Michigan in the early 1970s. The model states that stress develops when the work environment fails to match the motives of the person, or when the person’s abilities fail to meet the job demands. The model emphasises the “perceived” fit versus the “objective” fit. Research using this model has been critically examined, and its predictive power seems lower when compared with the predictive strength of either the effort–reward or the demand–control model.

**Psychological contract**

Psychological contract, a term conceptualised by Cavanagh, refers to the expectations and rules that constitute the basis for the continuing commitment of an employee to his or her employer. Psychological contract refers to the unwritten contract about the relationship between an employer and an employee. It includes form (ie the way of the exchange agreement between employer and employee), content (ie the beliefs of the individual about the terms and conditions of the exchange agreement) and process (ie the negotiation interplay between demands and offers of both the employee and the employer) of the employment relationship.

**Psychological demands**

Psychological demands are part of the demands in the demand–control model and part of the effort in the effort–reward imbalance model. Demands refer to the psychological stressors associated with accomplishing work, unexpected tasks and job-related personal conflict. Typical questions about psychological demands measure the pressure of output on the job: “Does your job require you to work very fast, hard, or to accomplish large amounts of work? Are you short of time?” Over time the content of this concept has expanded: the core of the concept is the work load and the sense that one has to work hard and under time pressure. But the concept also includes stress induced by role conflicts and by the challenges of emotional labour.

**Role conflict**

This refers to conflict that occurs when individuals engage in incompatible multiple roles at the same time. Role conflict can occur between roles within the same life area or between different areas (eg work and family roles). Role conflict often involves reciprocal processes. For example, work can interfere with family and family can interfere with work. Three main types of role conflict are time-based conflict, strain-based conflict and behaviour-based conflict. Two hypotheses dominate the role conflict research field: (1) the scarcity hypothesis, which suggests that individuals’ time and...
physical and mental energy available are a finite resource and have to be distributed between the different roles; and (2) the enhancement hypothesis, which suggests that the person’s energy is expandable, so that multiple roles can provide additional sources of support and well-being. Research has shown that several outcomes—poor health, dissatisfaction and absenteeism—are affected by role conflict.

**Social support**

This refers to help received from others with whom one has social relationships. For epidemiological analyses, several distinctions may be drawn about the sources of social support and the benefits derived. Firstly, social support might protect health by moderating the effects of work situations: integration into a work group might reduce feelings of alienation in a routine job; information sharing might facilitate problem solving and reduce stress on a time-pressured project. Secondly, support might moderate the health effects by increasing workers’ capacity to adapt in the following ways: participating with others in leisure time activities may facilitate problem solving and reduce stress on a time-pressured project. Secondly, support might moderate the health effects by increasing workers’ capacity to adapt in the following ways: participating with others in leisure time activities may facilitate problem solving and reduce stress on a time-pressured project.
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